





On-line workshop:

#### Avanzamento Delle Energie Rinnovabili Marine: Strategia Europea, Attività In Corso In Italia, e Aggiornamento Del Piano D'azione Nazionale Del Cluster-Big

24-25 Febbraio 2022







#### 'CFD Modelling of Floating Bodies Interaction Under Waves Using OpenFOAM®'

Renata Archetti, M. Ahsan Khan

Università di Bologna

On-line workshop, 24-25 Febbraio 2022



#### **PRESENTATION OUTLINE**







# **R**ENEWABLE **E**NERGY FROM **S**EAS



- RICERCA Modelling(CFD e Modelli a potenziale WEC-SIM) e Test in lab
- https://site.unibo.it/vasca-marittima/it
- Offshore Engineering Laurea Magistrale Ravenna (unibo.it)





#### WEC FARMS

- A WEC farm can count hundreds of units and extend over kilometres, making full-scale experiments nearly impossible
- To simulate multiple interacting WECs and the farm impact on the surrounding environment is a very challenging task
- Experimental tests on WEC array are very rare in literature and the lack of experiments is now a limit in the development and validation of simplified codes of WEC Array interaction
- To provide innovative numerical methodologies for the WEC array design
- To provide an experimental database for validation of numerical models







#### 2.1 Array

Alghero	-5.8%	+1.3%	-3.2%	+1%	-5.1%	+1.5%
		0000		°°°°	• •	
	d = 5D	d = 10D	d = 5D	d = 20D	d = 5D	d = 20D
Mazara	-5.5%	+3.4%	-2.1%	+1.3%	-3.9%	+1.7%
		<b>0</b> /30°			150°	-
	d = 5D	d = 5D	d = 5D	d = 20D	d = 5D	d = 20D
Ponza	-5.7%	+3.2%	-1.5%	+1.5%	-3.6%	+2.1%
	0000		0 0 0 0		150°	-
	d = 5D	d = 5D	d = 5D	d = 20D	d = 5D	d = 10D
La Spezia	-5.1%	+3.3%	-2.1%	+1.3%	-3.8%	+1.6%
	000 60°					
	d = 5D	d = 5D	d = 5D	d = 20D	d = 5D	d = 20D

Bozzi S., Giassi M., Moreno Miquel A., Antonini A., Bizzozero F., Gruosso G., Archetti R., Passoni G. (2017). Wave energy farm design in real wave climates: the Italian offshore. ENERGY. vol.122, p. 378-389. ISSN: 0360-5442.

WEC lines should stay at 30° with respect to wave fronts, square arrays should avoid the alignment between wave direction and square sides and rhombus layout should be oriented so that the two rows are parallel to wave fronts.

The distance among the units plays a key role in array performance. Too close or too spaced units can hardly benefit of constructive wave interferences]. The optimum distance is between 10 and 20 buoy diameters.

The optimum array designs are: a rhombus layout with WEC distance equal to 20D at Alghero and a linear layout with 5D spacing at the other locations.

the optimum array orientation can be assessed as if the waves were coming by one direction, equal to the prevailing one.

The optimum wave farm designs lead to power gains from 1.5% (at Alghero) to 3.4% (at Mazara del Vallo).





## **2.2 MOONWEC DEVICE**

- MoonWEC Invented by Dr. Adrià Moreno Miquel and Prof. Dr. Renata Archetti
   – patented by the University of Bologna
- Composed of → cylindrical floater + bottom disc (+ wells turbine for the power generation)
- Placed in water → forms a virtual body, i.e., moonpool
- Under the action of waves → both the floater and moonpool get excited
- Power generation → by relative motion between floater and moonpool





# **3** LABORATORY EXPERIMENTS AND CFD MODELLING



### **3.1 CFD** Model and Experiments

- **Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) modelling** and **laboratory experiments** for the MoonWEC in **Wave Flume** 
  - Prototype  $\underline{\mathbf{A}} \rightarrow$  scale of **1:64**

- o Numerical Wave Tank (NWT) → Simulates Experimental Wave Flume
- CFD modelling and laboratory experiments for the MoonWEC in Wave Basin
  - Prototype  $\underline{\mathbf{B}} \rightarrow$  scale of **1:40**
  - Numerical Wave Tank (NWT) → Simulates a PART of Experimental Wave Basin
- Open-source CFD software **OpenFOAM**®





# **3.2 MOONWEC PROTOTYPES**

- • •
- The floater was made from **wood**
- The brass disc was attached at the bottom to control the buoyancy
- All the dimensions (masses) were designed to achieve the required draft
- Total height of the Prototype A for Wave Flume experiments
  → 156 mm
- Total height of the Prototype B for Wave Basin experiments → 250 mm



4 MoonWEC Prototypes (B) for Wave Basin



Single MoonWEC Prototype (A) for Wave Flume





### **3.3 EXPERIMENTS IN WAVE FLUME**

•Experimental Wave Flume  $\rightarrow$  12 m long x 0.5 m wide x 0.7 m deep (0.4 m water depth for the current study)

•Wave generation  $\rightarrow$  cuneiform-shaped **piston-type wave-maker** on the L.H.S.



<u>Wave Flume Experimental Setup with a Single</u> <u>MoonWEC Prototype</u>



MoonWEC Prototype as Seen from the GoPro Camera Outside Flume



# **3.4 EXPERIMENTS IN WAVE BASIN**

- Laboratory of Hydraulics Engineering, UniBO
- Experimental Wave Basin → 20 m long x 10 m wide x 1.2 m deep (0.7 m water depth for the current study)
- Wave generation → cuneiform-shaped piston-type wavemakers in series
- Data recording → GoPro camera (inside the basin, underwater)





Wave Basin Plan (Top View)





DIPARTIMENTO DI Ingegneria civile, chimica, Ambientale e dei materiali

CENTRO INTERDIPARTIMENTALE DI RICERCA INDUSTRIALE EDILIZIA E COSTRUZIONI





# **3.4 EXPERIMENTS IN WAVE BASIN**





Wave Basin Experimental Setup with 4 MoonWEC Prototypes



<u>MoonWEC Prototype as Seen from</u> <u>Underwater GoPro Camera</u>





• • •



#### 4.1 PROTOTYPE A INITIAL RESULTS

**Free Decay Test** → calculation of natural period



<u>OpenFOAM</u>

**Experiment** 



#### 4.1 PROTOTYPE A INITIAL RESULTS

• • •

#### Wave-Interaction Test → Wave Height of 10 mm and Period of 0.935 s



**OpenFOAM** 

**Experiment** 



# 4.2 Resolving Pitch Problem for Prototype A

- **Pitch** observed to be **higher than expected** for the prototype
- Current center of gravity (CoG) → 51.92 mm (with the origin at the bottom-center of the MoonWEC)
- The center of buoyancy (CoB) is around 72
  mm
- Shifting the CoG towards the CoB, making it 67 mm and 71.5 mm in CFD Model





#### 4.4 RESOLVING PITCH - CFD WAVE TEST RESULTS



*RAO in Pitch* = 
$$\frac{6.523}{5.068} = 1.287$$

Pitch Response of MoonWEC Prototype for the Wave of 10 mm and 0.935 s



<u>CoG at 51.92 mm</u>

<u>CoG at 67 mm</u>



# 4.5 PROTOTYPE RESULTS



$$RAO = \frac{6.523}{5.068} = 1.287$$





#### 4.5 PROTOTYPE B INITIAL RESULTS

 $\bullet$   $\bullet$   $\bullet$ 



ENEN

Wave Basin Experiments, Laboratory of Hydraulics Engineering, UniBO



### 4.5 PROTOTYPE B INITIAL RESULTS

• • •

Wave-Interaction Test → Wave Height of 55 mm and Period of 1.4 s









. . .



### 4.5 PROTOTYPE B INITIAL RESULTS

Wave Amplitudes vs. MoonWEC Peak-to-Peak Heaving Amplitudes for Wave of 55 mm and 1.4 s 60 ----MoonWEC-Experiments ----MoonWEC-OpenFOAM 40 Peak-to-Peak Amplitudes (mm) Wave 20 0 -20 -40 -60 12 14 16 18 10 20 Time (s)



$$RAO = \frac{36.6}{27.5} = 1.33$$











#### 5.1 CONCLUSIONS

• • •

- **CFD model** provides **good results** in relation to the laboratory experiments
- MoonWEC Prototype shows positive results for the PTO motion → the higher
  RAO and the relative motion
- **TRL of 3-4**, i.e., the small-scale prototype validation in laboratory environment
- CFD model → an additional tool to cross-check the physical experiments and to verify for any physical model changes
- Access to internal dynamics Point details → in space + in time



#### **5.2 FUTURE WORK**

• • •

- WEC array testing
- **CFD model to be revised** to incorporate the mooring lines, wells turbine and multiple WECs

• **PTO** 

- Complementarity considering other sources of renewable energy (Wave energy, with solar and wind energy)
- **Power production** and **survivability** of the proposed systems









